

AD

Award Number: DAMD17-02-1-0345

TITLE: Searching the Epigenome for Novel Breast Cancer Tumor Suppressor

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Gregory J. Hannon, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Cold Springs Harbor Laboratory
Cold Spring Harbor, New York 11724

REPORT DATE: September 2003

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

20050621 028

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved
OMB No. 074-0188*

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE September 2003	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (1 Sep 2002 - 31 Aug 2003)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Searching the Epigenome for Novel Breast Cancer Tumor Suppressor		5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-02-1-0345
6. AUTHOR(S) Gregory J. Hannon, Ph.D.		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Cold Spring Harbor, New York 11724		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
E-Mail: hannon@cshl.org		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) Our initial proposal focused on developing technologies to uncover epigenetic changes that contribute to tumor development. Our initial attempts towards developing genome wide approaches to identify new genes silenced by epigenetic mechanisms encountered problems; however, our efforts to exploit epigenetic mechanisms of gene silencing to study tumor suppressor gene function have been very successful (see below). Therefore, as we enter the second year of funding, we plan to capitalize on the success of the latter experiments to refocus our effort. Our new objectives will build upon the unanticipated advances that we have made in the use of RNAi to manipulate gene expression in mouse and human cell systems and in animal models to explore the role of epigenetic modifications in breast cancer progression.		
14. SUBJECT TERMS Cancer biology, genetics, synthetic lethality, apoptosis		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
		16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified
		20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited

Table of Contents

Front Cover.....	1
SF 298.....	2
Table of Contents.....	3
Introduction.....	4
Body.....	4
Key Research Accomplishments.....	4
Reportable Outcomes.....	6
Conclusions.....	6
Appendices	

Progress Report

Introduction

Our initial proposal focused on developing technologies to uncover epigenetic changes that contribute to tumor development. Our initial attempts towards developing genome wide approaches to identify new genes silenced by epigenetic mechanisms encountered problems; however, our efforts to exploit epigenetic mechanisms of gene silencing to study tumor suppressor gene function have been very successful (see below). Therefore, as we enter the second year of funding we plan to capitalize on the success of the latter experiments to refocus our effort.

Below is a summary of our progress on areas supported by the grant, as well as a brief description of other progress as it pertains to our revised Aims. We also describe our new objectives. Of note, although our experimental focus has changed, our goals have not – they continue to explore the “epi-genome” to uncover genes that contribute to breast and other cancers.

Body

Key accomplishments

1. Control of Cellular Senescence. Cellular senescence is an extremely stable form of cell cycle arrest that limits the proliferation of damaged cells, including cells encountering telomere malfunction or DNA damage. As a consequence, mutations that disable senescence contribute to cellular immortalization and drug resistance in breast epithelial cells and other cell types. Work from our groups and others indicate that the p53 and p16/Rb tumor suppressor pathways are crucial regulators of senescence, but how activation of these pathways leads to a permanent arrest has remained largely unexplored. This year, we described a distinct heterochromatic structure that accumulates in senescent human fibroblasts, which we designated senescence-associated heterochromatic foci (SAHF) (Narita et al., 2003; Narita and Lowe, 2004). SAHF formation coincides with the recruitment of heterochromatin proteins and the Rb tumor suppressor to E2F-responsive promoters, and is associated with the stable repression of E2F target genes. Notably, both SAHF formation and the silencing of E2F target genes depend on the integrity of the Rb pathway, and do not occur in reversibly arrested cells. These results provide the first insights into the effector mechanisms of cellular senescence, and indicate that the process is under epigenetic control. Since we believe that senescence is a potent mechanism of tumor suppression, we are continuing to characterize this program with the goal of identifying new players that may contribute to breast and other cancers.

2. Analysis of the CBX7 oncogene. We are collaborating with David Beach to characterize the *in vivo* properties of the putative oncogene, CBX7. CBX7 is a member of the polycomb group family that was identified by virtue of its ability to immortalize epithelial cells in culture (Gil et al., 2003). Previous work indicates that Bmi-1, another polycomb group protein that can form a complex with CBX7, is oncogenic when

overexpressed in mice. Moreover, disruption of Bmi-1 leads to stem cell depletion, suggesting Bmi-1 can contribute to stem cell maintenance. To determine whether CBX7 has similar properties, we produced chimeric mice that expressed CBX7 in the hematopoietic compartment. We showed that CBX7 is a potent oncogene *in vivo*, capable of both initiating tumorigenesis and cooperating with c-myc to accelerate the onset of malignancies (Scott et al, in preparation). We are currently developing short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) to suppress CBX7 function, and intend to use them to determine whether CBX7 acts as an oncogene by controlling the INK4a/ARF locus and/or influences stem cell maintenance. Since p16INK4a is an important tumor suppressor in breast cancer, we hope these studies will help elucidate how epigenetic control of its expression can influence normal cell function and cancer development.

3. Use of RNAi to dissect tumor phenotypes. The application of RNA interference (RNAi) to mammalian systems has the potential to revolutionize genetics and produce novel therapies. This year we investigated whether RNAi can be used to suppress gene expression *in vivo* and produce phenotypes in mice. We showed that shRNAs directed against Neil1, a gene involved in DNA repair, could suppress gene expression in transgenic animals leading to a radiosensitive phenotype (Carmell et al., 2003). Furthermore, we used a murine lymphoma model to show that shRNAs against the p53 tumor suppressor could accelerate myc induced lymphomagenesis (Hemann et al., 2003). Interestingly, different *p53* shRNAs produced distinct phenotypes *in vivo*, ranging from benign lymphoid hyperplasias to highly disseminated lymphomas that paralleled the nullizygous setting. In all cases, the severity and type of disease correlated with the extent to which specific shRNAs inhibited p53 activity. Together, our results show that RNAi can stably suppress gene expression in stem cells and reconstituted organs derived from those cells. Moreover, intrinsic differences between individual shRNA expression vectors targeting the same gene can be used to create an 'epi-allelic series' for dissecting gene function *in vivo*.

Based on the above results, we are now applying RNAi technology to study other known or putative tumor suppressor genes *in vivo*. For example, we examined the possible tumor suppressor properties Bim and Puma, two "BH3-only" pro-apoptotic members of the Bcl-2 family. Bim contributes to apoptosis in several settings, including anoikis and taxol-induced apoptosis in breast epithelial cells and carcinomas, respectively (Renato et al., 2003; Sunters et al, 2003). Puma is a direct p53 target that appears to be an important p53 effector in drug induced apoptosis (Villunger, et al., 2003; Jeffers et al., 2004). Using the shRNA technology and rapid transgenic technologies we have developed, we showed that disruption of either Bim or Puma cooperate with myc during tumorigenesis (Hemann, et al., in preparation). Moreover, we are currently testing whether suppression of Puma or Bim can promote drug resistance (preliminary studies indicate yes) and whether this is agent dependent.

4. RNAi libraries and other new tools. Over the past year, we also have made advances in RNAi expression technology. For example, with funds from a variety of sources, we developed a large-scale resource for RNAi in mammalian cells (Paddison et al., in press). The initial library focused on covering the human genome and

comprises some 30,000 sequence verified constructs. In addition, biochemical studies on the RNAi mechanism have allowed us to demonstrate that each expressed shRNA gives rise to a single, predictable siRNA. Using this information, we can now apply siRNA design rules to greatly increase the success rate for individual shRNAs. We are also exploring contextual requirements for shRNAs to gain entry into the RNAi pathway. In short, these studies have produced two critical insights. First, using design rules, the AVERAGE shRNA suppresses gene expression by more than 80%. Second, 29nt shRNAs are more potent (per mole of transfected RNA) than siRNAs at suppressing gene expression.

We also have developed conditional strategies for shRNA expression. One approach involves the use of Cre recombinase to ablate the shRNA expression construct from the genome (Dickins et al., unpublished). An alternative approach was developed in collaboration with CSHL colleague, Vivek Mittal (Gupta et al., in press) and uses an ecdysone regulated U6 promoter for reversible silencing. These can be combined with targeting strategies which deliver shRNA cassettes to the genome in single copy, either through lentiviral transgenesis (with T. Rosenquist, SUNY, Stony Brook) or via homologous recombination (with A. Mills, CSHL). Although not all of these tools were developed with support from this Idea Award, they put us in an ideal position to undertake our revised objectives.

Reportable outcomes

None

Conclusions

New objectives

In the last year we have achieved substantial advances in developing RNAi technology and applying it to *in vivo* systems that we could not have been anticipated when our initial Idea Award was submitted. Therefore, we now wish to refocus our aims to capitalize on these advances, and use the support from the award to expand and utilize our ability to design and use shRNAs in mice. Specifically, we propose to develop an shRNA library capable of targeting 1000 cancer relevant mouse genes, which we will make publically available over the next year. We will then use this library to study cancer relevant phenotypes such as tumorigenesis and drug resistance. Based on our revised aims, we envision year two of this grant being consumed by the construction of necessary resources in the form of mouse shRNA clones, optimized vector and shRNA designs, and comparisons of improved technologies *in vivo*. Year three would represent an opportunity to begin exploration of the use of RNAi in *in vivo* models of epithelial carcinomas, particularly taking an approach in breast that we have used so effectively in lymphoma, namely the design of genetic mosaic animals in which tissues are composed of engineered stem cells. Such reconstitution models with primary murine breast epithelial cells already exist and tests of RNAi in these models are already underway at CSHL.

Over the past year, we have made tremendous progress, building upon our deepening understanding of RNAi biochemistry, toward building resources for using RNAi to address fundamental questions in cancer biology. We hope to exploit this unique opportunity to optimize our approaches toward the use of RNAi technology in murine systems and to develop the necessary tools for RNAi-based "epi-genetics" in mouse models of human cancer. Our successes in technology development have filtered through basic cancer research, and we would like to use this Idea Award to foster this progress. We realize that this is a departure from the original aims. However, we feel strongly that the use of existing funding for collaborative efforts between the Lowe and Hannon labs will be the most effective means to take advantage of a time-sensitive opportunity. We would be happy to provide a revised statement of work to reflect this shift in our research direction.

Publications relevant to the project

Carmell MA, Zhang L, Conklin DS, Hannon GJ, Rosenquist TA. Germline transmission of RNAi in mice. (2003). *Nat Struct Biol.* 10:91-92.

Hemann MT, Fridman JS, Zilfou JT, Hernando E, Paddison PJ, Cordon-Cardo C, Hannon GJ, Lowe SW. An epi-allelic series of p53 hypomorphs created by stable RNAi produces distinct tumor phenotypes in vivo. (2003). *Nat Genet.* 33:396-400.

Hemann, M.T., Burgess, D. and Lowe, S.W. (2004). Tumor suppressive properties of the p53-target gene PUMA. In preparation.

Narita M, Nunez S, Heard E, Narita M, Lin AW, Hearn SA, Spector DL, Hannon GJ, Lowe SW. Rb-mediated heterochromatin formation and silencing of E2F target genes during cellular senescence. 2003. *Cell.* 113:703-716.

Narita, M and Lowe, S.W. (2004). Executing Cell Senescence. *Cell Cycle,* In Press.

Scott C., Gil, J. Beach, D., and Lowe, S.W. (2004). CBX7 is a potent oncogene in vivo. In preparation.

Paddison, P. J., Silva., J. M., Conklin, D. S., Schlabach, M., Li, M., Aruleba, S., Balija, V., O'Shaughnessy, A., Gnoj, L., Scobie, K., Chang, K., Westbrook., T., Cleary, M., Sachidanandam, R., McCombie, W. R., Elledge, S. J. and Hannon, G. J. (2004) A resource for large-scale RNAi based screens in mammals. *Nature,* in press.

Gupta, S., Shoer, R. A., Egan, J. E., Hannon, G. J. and Mittal, V. Inducible, reversible, and stable RNA interference in mammalian cells. (2004) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.,* in press.

Literature Cited

Reginato MJ, Mills KR, Paulus JK, Lynch DK, Sgroi DC, Debnath J, Muthuswamy SK, Brugge JS. Integrins and EGFR coordinately regulate the pro-apoptotic protein Bim to prevent anoikis. (2003). *Nat Cell Biol.* 5:733-740.

Sunters A, Fernandez de Mattos S, Stahl M, Brosens JJ, Zoumpoulidou G, Saunders CA, Coffer PJ, Medema RH, Coombes RC, Lam EW. FoxO3a transcriptional regulation of Bim controls apoptosis in paclitaxel-treated breast cancer cell lines. (2003). *J Biol Chem.* 278:49795-49805.

Jeffers JR, Parganas E, Lee Y, Yang C, Wang J, Brennan J, MacLean KH, Han

J, Chittenden T, Ihle JN, McKinnon PJ, Cleveland JL, Zambetti GP. Puma is an essential mediator of p53-dependent and -independent apoptotic pathways. (2003). *Cancer Cell.* 4:321-328.

Villunger A, Michalak EM, Coultas L, Mullauer F, Bock G, Ausserlechner MJ, Adams JM, Strasser A. p53- and drug-induced apoptotic responses mediated by BH3-only proteins puma and noxa. (2003). *Science.* 302:1036-1038.

GRADUATE GENETICS PROGRAM RETREAT
FRIDAY, JANUARY 16, 2004
COLD SPRING HARBOR LABORATORY GENOME CENTER
500 SUNNYSIDE BLVD., WOODBURY, NY

09:00 - 09:45 *Arrival - coffee and bagels available*

09:50 - 10:00 **Welcome and introductions**
Peter Gergen, Director Graduate Genetics Program

10:00 - 10:30 **Genomic copy number analysis in sporadic human genetic disease**
Eli Hatchwell, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory

10:30 - 11:00 **The role of *mesd* in embryonic development and signal transduction**
Bernadette Holdener (Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Stony Brook)

11:00 - 11:15 *Break*

11:15 - 11:45 **Matrix metalloproteinase-7 in chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer**
Howard Crawford (Pharmacological Sciences, Stony Brook)

11:45 - 12:15 **Electron cyromicroscopy of large macromolecular machines**
Huilin Li (Brookhaven National Laboratory)

12:15 - 12:45 **Hantavirus regulation of cellular responses**
Erich Mackow (Medicine, Stony Brook)

01:00 - 02:15 *Buffet Lunch, Woodbury Cafeteria (students set up posters)*

02:15 - 02:45 **Responses of host cells to *Francisella tularensis*, a potential agent of bioterrorism**
Martha Furie (Pathology, Stony Brook)

02:45 - 03:15 **Power and precision of likelihood based linkage analysis of complex diseases**
Nancy Mendell (Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Stony Brook)

03:15 - 03:45 **Memories of a fly: Information processing in a simple brain**
Josh Dubnau (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

04:00 - 05:30 *Poster session / cocktail reception, Woodbury Cafeteria
Genetics Program students in the 3rd year and beyond*

Directions to the Woodbury Genome Center:

Using the Long Island Expressway (495): go to Exit 46 (Sunnyside Boulevard). At the traffic light turn onto Sunnyside Boulevard and drive north (approximately 1/4 mile). You will approach the Genome Research Center's gate entrance.

Using the Northern State Parkway heading east: go to Exit 38 (Sunnyside Boulevard). Turn onto Sunnyside Boulevard and drive north until you can drive straight no further. You will approach the Genome Research Center's gate entrance.

When arriving at the security gate call the front desk from the call box and announce that you are there for the Genetics Retreat.

CURRICULUM VITAE

GREGORY J. HANNON

EDUCATION:

1986	Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH	B.A., Biochemistry
1991	Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH	Ph.D. Mol. Biology

AWARDS

1982-86	Andrew J. Squire Scholar
1986	Phi Beta Kappa
1992-95	Damon Runyon-Walter Winchell Cancer Research Fund Fellowship
1997-00	Pew Scholar in the Biomedical Sciences
2000-	Rita Allen Scholar
2002-2005	U.S. Army Breast Cancer Innovator Award
2003	Faculty of 1000

RESEARCH AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2002-present	Professor, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, NY
2000-2002	Associate Professor, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
1996-2000	Assistant Professor, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
1994-1995	Staff Associate II, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
1992-1994	Postdoctoral Fellow, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
1986-1992	Ph.D. Thesis, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH

PUBLICATIONS:

Ferbeyre, G., Lin, A. W., Querido, E., deStanchina, E., McCurrach, M. E., Hannon, G. J., Lowe, S. W. (2002) Oncogenic *ras* and p53 cooperate to induce cellular senescence. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **22(10)**: 3497-3508.

Paddison, P.J., Caudy, A.A., Bernstein, E., Hannon, G.J. and Conklin, D.S. (2002) Short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) induces sequence-specific silencing in mammalian cells. *Genes & Dev.*, **16**: 948-959.

Paddison, P.J. and Hannon, G.J. (2002) RNA interference: the new somatic cell genetics? *Cancer Cell*, **2**: 17-23.

McCaffrey, A., Meuse, L., Pahm, T.T., Conklin, D.S., Hannon, G.J., and Kay, M.A. (2002) RNA interference in adult mice. *Nature* **418**: 38-39.

Hannon, G.J., (2002) RNAi. *Nature*, **418**: 244-251.

Carmell, M., Xuan, Z., Zhang, M. and Hannon, G. J. (2002) The Argonaute family: tentacles that reach into RNAi, developmental control, stem cell maintenance,

and tumorigenesis. *Genes & Development* **16**: 2733-2742.

Seger, Y. R., Garcia-Cao, M., Piccinin, S., Lo Cunsolo, C., Doglioni, C., Blasco, M. A., Hannon, G. J. and Maestro, R. (2002) Transformation of normal human cells in the absence of telomerase activation. *Cancer Cell* **2**: 401-413.

Svoboda, P., Stein, P., Anger, M., Kato, Y., Bernstein, E., Hannon, G. J. and Schultz R. M. Dicer regulates expression of transposable elements during mouse development. (Submitted).

Caudy, A.A., Myers, M., Hannon, G.J., and Hammond, S.M. (2002) Fragil X-related protein and VIG associate with the RNA interference machinery. *Genes & Development* **16**: 2491-2496.

Du, J., and Hannon, G. J. (2002) The centrosomal kinase Aurora-A/STK15 interacts with a putative tumor suppressor NM23-H1. *Nucleic Acids Research* **30:(24)** 5465-5475.

Carmell, M.A., Zhang, L., Conklin, D.S., Hannon, G. J., and Rosenquist, T. A. (2003) Germline transmission of RNAi in mice. *Nature Structural Biology* **10**: 91-92.

Hemann, M.T., Fridman, J., Zilfou, J.T., Hernando, E., Paddison, P.J., Cordon-Cardo, C., Hannon, G.J., Lowe, S.W. (2003) An epi-allelic series of p53 hypomorphs created by stable RNAi produces distinct tumor phenotypes *in vivo*. *Nature Genetics*, **33**: 396-400.

Palmer, L. E., O'Shaughnessy, A. L., Preston, R. R., Santos, V.S., Balija, V. S., Nascimento, T.L., Henthorn, P. S., Hannon, G. J. and McCombie. W. R. (2003) A survey of canine expressed sequence tags and a display of their annotations through a flexible web interface. *Journal of Heredity* **94(1)**: 15-22.

Silva, J., Hammond, S. M. and Hannon, G. J. RNAi : A promising approach for antiviral therapy? (In Press).